

PPA 220A: APPLIED ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

**MASTER'S PROGRAM IN PUBLIC POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION
MASTER'S PROGRAM IN URBAN LAND DEVELOPMENT**

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO

FALL 2016

Professor: Rob Wassmer, Ph.D.

E-Mail: rwassme@csus.edu

Home Page: <http://www.csus.edu/indiv/w/wassmerr>

Office: Room 3037, Tahoe Hall

Class Location: Monday, 6 - 8:50 p.m., 1011 Academic Resource Center (ARC)
The classroom presentation is also available as a re-broadcast on SacCT two days after live meeting. **Everyone needs to attend (in person) the first class meeting on August 29.**

Office Phone: (916) 278-6304

Office Hours: Monday, 4:00 – 5:30 p.m.; and by appointment if necessary

Required Texts (some also used in 220B):

(1 – Textbook) *Contemporary Policy Analysis*, 2012, Michael Mintrom, Oxford University Press, available for purchase on web at Amazon.Com (link provided), (7/26/16, new \$67, used \$31),

(2 – Textbook) *Analyzing Policy: Choices, Conflicts, and Practices*, 2000, Michael Munger, Norton, available for purchase on web at Amazon.Com (7/26/16, new \$49, used \$10),

(3 – Textbook) *The Economics Anti-Textbook*, 2010, Rod Hill and Tony Myatt, Fernwood Publishing, available for purchase on web at Amazon.Com (7/26/16, new \$36, used \$20),

(4 – Reader) *Nudge*, 2009, Richard Thaler and Cass Sunstein, Penguin Books, available for purchase on web at Amazon.Com (7/26/16, new \$10, used \$9),

(5 – Reader) *Policy and Choice*, William Congdon, Jeffrey Kling, and Sendhill Mullainathan, Brookings, available for purchase on web at Amazon.Com (7/26/16, new \$20, used \$19),

(6 – Review of undergrad microeconomics) *The Economics Companion*, 2011, Graham Mallard, Palgrave Macmillan Publishing, available for purchase on web at Amazon.Com (7/27/16, new \$45, used \$14),

(7 – Text also used in PPA 200) *A Practical Guide for Policy Analysis: The Eightfold Path to More Effective Problem Solving*, 4th Edition, Eugene Bardach, CQ Press, available for purchase on web at Amazon.Com (7/26/16, new \$21, used \$11),

(8 – Text also used in PPA 200) *Naked Economics*, Charles Wheelan, Norton, available for purchase on web at Amazon.Com (7/26/16, new \$15, used \$4),

Course Objectives:

Sacramento State’s Department of Public Policy and Administration has established 10 learning objectives for this course. These are part of a broader set of learning objectives for the MPPA or MSULD ([view here](#)). Column one in the table below contains the general learning objective for the PPA Program. Column two contains its application in this course.

PPA LEARNING OBJECTIVE	HOW APPLIED IN PPA 220A
1 a. Construct clear definition of problems	Using the methods of Bardach and CAM analysis, learn to effectively construct and use a clear definition of a policy problem.
1 b. Identify reasonable alternatives to address problems	Using the methods of Bardach and CAM analysis, identify reasonable alternatives to address a clearly defined policy problem.
1 c. Analyze and evaluate alternatives and offer solutions	Using the methods of Bardach and CAM analysis, identify and use appropriate criteria to evaluate these alternatives.
1 e. Draw upon multiple disciplines to understand and address policy and administrative problems	Understand the important role of economic concepts (i.e., supply, demand, markets, perfect competition, monopoly, consumer and producer surplus, externalities, public goods) in public policy.
2 e. Write clearly and succinctly as appropriate to various audiences	Practice writing clearly and succinctly as appropriate to various audiences to summarize the application of economic concepts to policy issues.
3 c. Understand the difference between analysis and advocacy	Understand that the role of the policy analyst is to offer advice to policymakers on the desirability of alternative solutions to a policy problem. Both ethical and value neutrality are desired in policy analysis. If personal values enter a policy analysis, note them.
Writing intensive: Understand the	Understand the major research and/or

major research and/or professional conventions, practices, and methods of inquiry of the discipline	professional conventions, practices, and methods of inquiry used in economics for policy analysis.
Writing intensive: Understand the major formats, genres, and styles of writing used in the discipline	Understand the major formats, genres, and styles of writing used in economics for policy analysis.
Writing intensive: Practice reading and writing within the discipline	Understand the major research and/or professional conventions, practices, and methods of inquiry of economics for policy analysis.
Writing intensive: Practice reading and writing as a learning process that involves peer and instructor feedback, revision, critical reflection, and self-editing	Practice reading and writing as a learning process that involves peer and instructor feedback, revision, critical reflection, and self-editing.

A survey at the end of the semester will gather your opinion on how well this course has satisfied the learning goals in column two. I will refer to these goals throughout the course.

Graduate Writing Intensive Course

Anyone receiving a B or better in this course automatically satisfies his or her graduate writing intensive requirement at Sacramento State.

Internet and SacCT Access:

Before 5 p.m., on the Wednesday before the week covered in class, I will post on SacCT the PowerPoint slides covering material discussed in the following week's class. Information on SacCT is at <http://www.csus.edu/sacct/student/sacct-ready.stm>. Please visit the site after you have secured a SacLink account number and password from Sacramento State. I will also correspond with you by e-mail through SacCT and ask you to read material from the Internet. Thus, access to the Internet and SacCT use is required for this class. If you do not have Internet access at work or home, the University's Library and its various computer labs offer access to students.

Student Name Placards:

I ask that each of you create a first name placard (with material I will provide on first day of class), bring it to class each week, and place it in front of you for each class meeting. I know this will help me greatly in learning your names. I hope it will also assist you in a quicker learning of your classmates' names.

I will also take a digital picture of everyone the first night of class and post this with names on SacCT to assist in you attaching a name to a face of your fellow classmates. We will also post

these at the PPA website with your undergrad institution and major to publicize where our students come from.

Overview:

PPA 220A continues your exposure to the basic concepts and tools of microeconomics (from your previous introductory microeconomics course) as they apply to public policy (and urban land development) analysis. We will study how economists think about household decisions, business decisions, and government decisions. We spend much of our time understanding the role that government can play in altering these economic decisions for the betterment of society. We will also spend a considerable amount of time extending your basic knowledge of microeconomics to the new thinking offered through the field of behavioral economics that combines insights from psychology and economics to offer important considerations for the formulation of public policy. This course also reinforces your knowledge of Bardach's Eightfold Path to More Effective Problem Solving covered in PPA 200.

The prerequisite for this course is the receipt of a B grade or above in a previous introductory (undergraduate) course in microeconomics. **If your microeconomics is a bit rusty, review your undergraduate microeconomics text, or the microeconomics portion of *The Economics Companion* (pp. 1 - 150), or Chapter 9 in *Contemporary Policy Analysis*.**

Microeconomics offers many insights into understanding how business, government, and people interact. Some of the most serious challenges that individuals and society face are economic based. An understanding of economics is therefore an essential part of deriving solutions to these challenges. As a future public policy (or urban land development) analyst, an understanding of the economic principles taught in this course is essential to your ability to offer good analysis and advice in your chosen profession.

A goal of mine has always been to try to improve the teaching of microeconomics to university students. I would consider myself a success if I could get you to learn some microeconomics, appreciate its value to the career choice you have made, and to have a **part** of your brain think like an economist. Note that I emphasize only a part of your brain. Good analysts have to also consider relevant political and administrative issues, and the social/equity ramifications of proposed public and urban land development policies. We accomplish this through the other courses you take in your master's program; however, at the same time, I do not fully ignore it here.

I encourage you to share with me, even before teaching evaluations are given, your opinion on any of my teaching methods and offer constructive suggestions on how to improve them. I truly appreciate such input and use it to try and continually improve the way I teach this course. To help in achieving this goal, I ask in week seven (October 17) of the course that you complete the teaching evaluation instrument that you will again fill out at the end of the course. Using the responses, I can consider adopting my pedagogy to address your concerns in the remaining portion of the course.

PPA 220A consists of one 175 minute meeting a week for 14 weeks. **Each week you should also plan devote at least 4.5 hours of study outside of the classroom to this course.** A 15-minute break occurs in the middle of each class. If I go past 7:30 p.m., without giving you the break, please remind me that it is due.

This course uses a hybrid (distance and in class education) format. I am well aware that there are both plusses and minuses to using this format. A big plus is the recording of all class sessions. This offers you the option to watch and review them later. Since this is available, you need not attend all class sessions and can instead chose to view some of them through SacCT. To some, the downside of this flexibility is that there are cameras in the classroom and microphones were you sit. So fellow classmates listening later on tape can hear you, you will need to turn on these microphones before speaking.

The official requirement is that you attend a minimum of eight of the 14 scheduled classes. To measure your attendance, and prepare you for participation in class discussions, I ask that you submit a typed, double-spaced, two-page maximum answer to the numbered discussion question (that corresponds to the first letter of your last name) that are posted on SacCT by Wednesday at 5 pm before they are due. You can only turn these in on the night of the meeting you attend. I will look them over and return them to you by the next class meeting with a grade based upon how well you satisfy the rubric (included below) I have established for these. **Since you are only required to attend eight class meetings (necessarily including the first and midterm exam class), your overall grade on these assignments will be based on the highest eight grades you receive. You may turn in one of these write ups at our first class meeting (September 8 – of which the questions to answer are below).** If possible, I strongly encourage you to attend all class meetings in person and turn in more than eight assignments.

If there are concepts or ideas covered in a Monday night session that you did not understand, it is important to your overall success that you get these misunderstandings resolved before the next time we meet. You can do this by: (1) talking to your fellow classmates (I encourage you to form study groups or electronic study networks), (2) visiting me in my Monday office hours, (3) tweeting a question that I or anybody in class can answer, (4) sending an e-mail question to me at rwassme@csus.edu (please do not send by SacCT because I check less often), (5) submitting a *murky concept* question in class, and/or (5) phoning me at 278-6304. My promise to you is that if I am not in my office, I will respond to your Monday through Friday e-mail or phone call within 24 hours.

Questions, comments, and discussion about material assigned for a Monday night class are always encouraged during that class. In office hours I am pleased to discuss a suggestion on pedagogy, economics in general, the MPPA or MSULD Programs, or your career plans. To insure a passing participation grade, please stop by to visit at least once during office hours.

A well prepared student for class will complete all reading and listening assignments, look over my PowerPoint notes, formulate answers to the discussion questions poised each week (you should think about all of the questions asked and not just the one assigned to you that week), and prepare to **actively participate** in the class discussion. I will call upon those who do not participate willingly.

Given that you are graduate students, have done the assigned readings before coming to class, have looked over my Power Point notes that summarize the important concepts from the reading, and all desire to become working professionals that can apply the concepts covered in the readings to real world situations in California state and local government, the appropriate pedagogy is not <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uhiCFdWeQfA> .

I will do my best to conduct this class instead in an active-learning, discussion-based, and full-participatory format. This means I will not run through the Power Point slides one-by-one. We will instead rely upon classroom discussions of your prepared answers to the weekly questions, additional discussion questions I pose in class, and the *murky concept* question that you print out at the start of each class.

The *murky concept* practice I use is that each receives a page of lined paper at the start of class. PRINT your name and date at the top of this page, and PRINT out a question from the week's reading or listening on a concept, idea, institution, theory, application, term, etc. that that requires greater explanation from me in class. It will then be your responsibility to ask this question at the appropriate time in our classroom meeting. Turn these sheets into me at the end of our meeting and I will record your doing this for the partial basis of your participation grade.

Homework Question Feedback, Revision, Reflection, and Self-Editing:

This course qualifies for Graduate Writing Intensive approval only if a component of it involves you receiving comment on your written work from the instructor and a peer, you reflecting on these comments, and you self-editing your previously written material. We accomplish this through your weekly homework questions.

If you receive less than an A- (3.7) grade from me on your weekly answer, you are required to rewrite it after reflecting upon the comments I have given you on it, and upon the comments you will solicit from an assigned writing partner among your peers. When you submit your revision back to me, please include with it the original marked up version and grading rubric that I completed, and the same from your assigned writing partner. When requested to view someone's writing assignment as a peer reviewer, you will have a maximum of one week to get your comments back. If it takes longer, and the person you are reviewing complains to me, you will receive a failing grade in one of your seven required writing assignments.

You may revise a maximum of two assignments. However, if you have two or more assignments with less than an A- grade from me, two need revision. The process of revision must begin the week after you receive less than an A- on any homework question. **When you have feedback from your peer reader and me for your first revision, you must stop by my office hours or see me after class to discuss in person your planned revision. The requirement is to do this once, but you may do it for all two of your revisions if desired.**

On the first night of class, I will offer further instruction on writing your responses to the requested questions in a form that is appropriate to the applied professional discipline of public policy and administration. (See the rubric at the end of this syllabus and examples I have posted

on SacCT.) Further instruction will come as needed throughout the semester. Submit all revised assignments to me within two weeks of receiving less than an “A” on any of them. I will accept no revisions after the last night of class (December 5).

Twitter

I have used Twitter in my courses for the past few years and will continue its use here. I have an account there with the name “@rwassme”. Everyone in class must also to get a Twitter account and subscribe to my Tweets and the Tweets of all in class. (Go to <https://twitter.com> to do this.) If you have an account that you use for personal reasons, please get a separate account for just PPA purposes. **Begin all of your PPA tweets with “#MPPA”.**

I will Tweet on things that I observe in current events that apply to what we are learning in class – usually with a link regarding how to get further info on it. You are to read my Tweets and respond to my or other PPA 220A Tweets in a manner that reflects knowledge gained in class. You should post your own Tweets in the same manner. I will expect every student in the class to post between five (min) and 15 (max) Tweets.

Academic Honesty

When you do any writing for this class, or any class at Sacramento State, it is important that you are aware of what plagiarism is, and how its practice can become grounds for dismissal from the university. Details are available at the University Policy Manual found at <http://www.csus.edu/facs/about%20us/plagiarism.html>. The following is from earlier version of this manual and highly relevant:

Plagiarism: Plagiarism is a form of cheating. At CSUS plagiarism is the use of distinctive ideas or works belonging to another person without providing adequate acknowledgement of that person's contribution. Regardless of the means of appropriation, incorporation of another's work into one's own requires adequate identification and acknowledgement. Plagiarism is doubly unethical because it deprives the author of rightful credit and gives credit to someone who has not earned it. Acknowledgement is not necessary when the material used is common knowledge. Plagiarism at CSUS includes but is not limited to:

The act of incorporating into one's own work the ideas, words, sentences, paragraphs, or parts thereof, or the specific substance of another's work without giving appropriate credit thereby representing the product as entirely one's own. Examples include not only while, in essence, copying another's work), the paraphrase (i.e., rewriting another's work while still using the other's fundamental idea or theory); fabrication (i.e., inventing or counterfeiting sources), ghost-writing (i.e., submitting another's work as one's own) and failure to include quotation marks on material that is otherwise acknowledged; and

Examination Procedure:

I will take material for the midterm exam out of assigned reading, class time, and homework. I will provide a sample midterm exam early in the semester. If you have an illness or emergency,

I expect notification before the midterm exam takes place. If you fail to show up for this exam without contacting me, or if you cannot provide written documentation of why you missed, you will receive a zero.

Midterm: The first 90 minutes of class on October 24 is devoted to taking an in-class and closed-book midterm exam. More details and an example of a previous midterm will follow.

Final: Details on the final paper are below. We will discuss this assignment in further detail on November 28 in class. It is due on December 12 at the absolute latest, but I encourage you to get it in earlier.

Grading Procedure:

Where appropriate, I base grades on the following table:

<u>Percent Correct</u>	<u>Letter Grade</u>	<u>Number Grade</u>
100-97	A+	4.3
96-93	A	4.0
92-89	A-	3.7
88-85	B+	3.3
84-81	B	3.0
80-77	B-	2.7
76-73	C+	2.3
72-69	C	2.0
68-65	C-	1.7
64-61	D	1.0
<61	F	0.0

I assign a number grade (column 3 above) to everything you do. Your overall course grade comes from these number grades. **Anything above a B- (2.7) in the course is a passing grade for credit towards your MPPA or MSULD (but be aware you need to earn an overall GPA of 3.0 (B) to remain in good standing.** The calculation of your final grade is as follows:

Midterm Exam: 30%

Final Paper: 25%

Average Grade Earned on Eight Weekly Required Assignments: 25%

Twitter Participation: 10%

Classroom and Office Hour Participation: 10%

I strictly follow University policy for dropping and receiving an incomplete for this course. You must complete both the midterm and final paper to receive a passing grade.

The following schedule lists the topics covered and the assigned reading that accompanies them. I reserve the right to make minor changes and additions to the schedule. Underlined material is hyperlinked and obtained by clicking on it from your web browser. **With the exception of the first week, the discussion questions will be at SacCT on the Wednesday before they are due. You are to only submit an answer to the question that corresponds to the first letter of your last name, but think about answers to all questions as you are doing the reading related to it.**

You need to also print out and review the PowerPoint slides I have prepared for each week. They are at SacCT and will be available (at the latest) by the Wednesday evening before the following Monday's meeting.

Schedule:

Week 1 (August 29): Course Introduction and Economics Re-Introduction

(1) Syllabus

(2) "Economics: What it's all about and how to study it," Mallard, Part 1, pp. 1-23, 30-54

Question from this reading for last names beginning with A-D:

Your boss learns that you have decided to pursue a Master's in Public Policy and Administration instead of a Master's in Public Administration. She asks the difference between the two degrees and you state more economics in a MPPA than a MPA. She then asks what does economics have to do with public policy? Compose a short essay in response to her question.

(3) "What is economics: Where you start influences where you go," Hill and Myatt, Chapter 1, pp. 1-26

Question from this reading for last names beginning with E-L:

From the "Anti-Text" portion of this chapter, choose only one of the criticisms mentioned and in a short essay describe what it is, whether your previous microeconomics course ever mentioned it, and whether you agree to the importance of the oversight as given.

(4) "What policy analyst do," Mintrom, Chapter 2, pp. 15-26

Question from this reading for last names beginning with M-R:

In a memo to the human resource person at your (real or fictional) employer, describe the specific tasks of a new policy analyst position that you would like to hire. What are the specific requirements, skills, experiences, etc. that should be listed as qualifying somebody for this position. What do you anticipate they will accomplish in your office?

- (5) “Fixing the World, Bang-for-the-Buck Edition: A New Freakonomics Radio Podcast, Freakonomics Podcast, <http://freakonomics.com/podcast/fixing-the-world-bang-for-the-buck-edition-a-new-freakonomics-radio-podcast> .

Question from this reading for last names beginning with S-Z:

In a memo to an elected official you work for, describe the process advocated by Lomborg and how to use it in upcoming budget negotiations that she is involved with.

Week 2 (September 12): Consumers in Economics (1)

- (1) “What consumers buy,” Mallard, Chapter 5, pp. 57-86
- (2) “People as consumers: Standard text,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 4, pp. 74-77
- (3) “Analysis of markets: Consumer choice and demand side of market,” Mintrom, Chapter 9, pp. 119-131
- (4) “The power of markets: Who feeds Paris?” Wheelan, Chapter 1, pp. 3-22
- (5) “Incentives matter: Why you might be able to save your face by cutting off your nose,” Wheelan, Chapter 2, pp. 23-42
- (6) “Roberts and Munger on how Adam Smith can change your life,” Econ Talk Podcast, http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2014/10/russ_roberts_an.html

Week 3 (September 19): Consumers in Economics (2)

- (1) “Should we really behave like economists say we do?” Freakonomics podcast, <http://freakonomics.com/podcast/should-we-really-behave-like-economists-say-we-do-a-new-freakonomics-radio-podcast> .
- (2) “People as consumers: Anti-text,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 4, pp. 78-92
- (3) “Introduction,” Thaler and Sunstein, pp. 1-14
- (4) “Psychology and economics,” Congdon *et al.*, Chapter 2, pp. 17-39
- (5) “Following the herd,” Thaler and Sunstein, Chapter 3, pp. 53-73
- (6) “When do we need a nudge?” Thaler and Sunstein, Chapter 4, pp. 74-82

Week 4 (September 26): Producers in Economics

- (1) “How much producers make and sell,” Mallard, Chapter 6, 87-107

- (2) “The firm: Standard text,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 5, pp. 93-101
- (3) “Analysis of markets: Firm behavior and supply side of market,” Mintrom, Chapter 9, pp. 131-148
- (4) Productivity and human capital: Why is Bill Gates so much richer than you are?” Wheelan, Chapter 6, pp. 98-117.
- (5) “The firm: Anti-text,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 5, pp. 101-117

Week 5 (October 3): Market Structure in Economics (1)

- (1) “When consumers and producers interact,” Mallard, Chapter 7, pp. 117-132
- (2) “Market structure and efficiency: Standard text,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 6, pp. 118-130
- (3) “Tina Rosenberg on the Kidney Market in Iran,” EconTalk Podcast, http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2015/09/tina_rosenberg.html
- (4) “Munger on the sharing economy,” EconTalk Podcast, http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2014/07/michael_munger.html
- (5) “Regulate this,” Freakonomics Podcast, <http://freakonomics.com/podcast/regulate-this-a-new-freakonomics-radio-podcast>

Week 6 (October 10): Market Structure in Economics (2)

- (1) “Market structure and efficiency: Anti-text,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 6, pp. 130-149
- (2) “How markets work in an imaginary world: Anti-text,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 3, pp. 53-73
- (3) “Mavericks, monopolies and beer,” PlanetMoney Podcast, <http://www.npr.org/blogs/money/2013/02/23/172724026/episode-438-mavericks-monopolies-and-beer>
- (4) “Daniel Sumner on the Political Economy of Agriculture,” EconTalk podcast, http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2015/02/daniel_sumner_o.html
- (5) “Angell on big pharma,” EconTalk podcast, http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2012/11/angell_on_big_p.html

Week 7 (October 17): A Role for Government (Market Failure)

- (1) “When the interaction goes wrong,” Mallard, Chapter 8, pp. 133-150
- (2) “Externalities and the ubiquity of market failure,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 7, pp. 150-168

- (3) “Analysis of Market Failure,” Mintrom, Chapter 10, pp. 149-188
- (3) “Deciding how to decide: Experts, the people, and the market,” Munger, Chapter 2, pp. 30-53
- (5) “The one-page plan to fix global warming,” PlanetMoney Podcast,
<http://www.npr.org/blogs/money/2014/06/04/318458373/episode-472-the-one-page-plan-to-fix-global-warming>

Preliminary teaching evaluation done during class

Week 8 (October 24): Midterm and Role For Government (What Governments Can Do)

90-minute midterm at start of class

- (1) “What governments do,” Mintrom, Chapter 3, pp. 27-42
- (2) “Things government do,” Bardach, Appendix B, pp. 141-149
- (3) “CAL Facts,” CA Legislative Analyst’s Office,
<http://www.lao.ca.gov/Publications/Detail/3154>

Week 9 (October 31): Behavioral Economics and Public Finance

- (1) “Behavioral economics and public finance,” Congdon *et al.*, Chapter 3, pp. 40-68
- (2) “Asymmetric information,” Congdon *et al.*, Chapter 4, pp. 69-106
- (3) “Externalities and public goods,” Congdon *et al.*, Chapter 5, pp. 107-139
- (4) “Rosenzweig on Leadership, Decisions, and Behavioral Economics,” Econ Talk Podcast,
http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2015/04/phil_rosenzweig.html .

Week 10 (November 7): Role for Government (Redistributive Policy 1)

- (1) “Choice of regulatory reform: Efficiency, equity, or politics,” Munger, Chapter 8,
pp. 238-270
- (2) “Government, taxation, and the (re)distribution of income: Is a just society just too expensive,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 9, pp. 196-218
- (3) “Trouble with poverty line,” Planet Money Podcast,
<http://www.npr.org/blogs/money/2013/09/20/224511346/episode-487-the-trouble-with-the-poverty-line>
- (4) “Robert Frank on Inequality,” Econ Talk Podcast,

http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2010/11/robert_frank_on_1.html

- (5) “Richard Epstein on happiness, inequality, and envy,” Freakonomics Podcast
http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2008/11/richard_epstein.html

Week 11 (November 14): Role for Government (Redistributive Policy 2)

- (1) “Poverty and inequality,” Congdon *et al.*, Chapter 6, pp. 140-172
- (2) “Trade and globalization,” Wheeler, Chapter 11, pp. 187-205
- (3) “Trade and globalization without the rose colored glasses,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 10, pp. 219-242
- (4) “Marginal productivity theory of income distribution or you’re worth what you can get,” Hill and Myatt, Chapter 8, pp. 169-195
- (5) “Caplan on college, signaling, and human, capital” Freakonomics Podcast,
http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2014/04/bryan_caplan_on.html

Week 12 (November 21): Government Failure

- (1) “Analysis of Government Failure,” Mintrom, Chapter 11, pp. 189-208
- (2) “Munger on private and public rent seeking,” Econ Talk Podcast,
http://www.econtalk.org/archives/2010/08/munger_on_priva.html
- (3) “Why it's illegal to braid hair without a license,” PlanetMoney Podcast,
<http://www.npr.org/blogs/money/2012/06/22/155596305/episode-381-why-its-illegal-to-braid-hair-without-a-license>
- (4) “How the burrito became a sandwich,” Planet Money Podcast,
<http://www.npr.org/blogs/money/2014/07/18/332612643/episode-554-how-the-burrito-became-a-sandwich>

Week 13 (November 28): Process of Policy Analysis I

- (1) “Policy analysis as a profession and a process: An overview,” Munger, Chapter 1, pp. 3-29
- (2) “The eightfold path: Steps one through four,” Bardach, Part 1, pp. 1-62
- (3) CIWMB Tire Study, Wassmer, Chapters 1-4, pp. 1-48,
<http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/Publications/Documents/Tires%5C62002006.pdf>
- (4) “You say repugnant, I say ... let’s do it!,” Freakonomics Podcast,

<http://www.freakonomics.com/2010/12/30/freakonomics-radio-you-say-repugnant-i-say-lets-do-it>

Week 14 (December 5): Process of Policy Analysis II

- (1) “The eightfold path: Steps five through eight,” Bardach, Part 1 (partial), pp. 63-78
- (2) “Managing policy projects,” Mintrom, Chapter 5, pp. 63-79
- (3) “Presenting policy advice,” Mintrom Chapter 6, pp. 80-94
- (4) CIWMB Tire Study, Wassmer, Chapters 5-7, pp. 49-81,
<http://www.calrecycle.ca.gov/Publications/Documents/Tires%5C62002006.pdf>

Final Paper Due at 6 p.m. (December 12)

Name: _____ Grade: _____

Grading Rubric for Weekly Discussion Questions

PPA 220A

Fall 2016

Excellent = 10, Good = 9, Acceptable = 8, Needs Improvement = 6-7, Poor = 5 or <, Absent = 0

Required Content	10	9	8	7	6	0
Include your full name and reproduce the complete discussion question on a separate cover page (that does not count toward two-page limit). Document is double-spaced, no more than two typed pages long, with one-inch margins, and 11 font.						
Begins with an appropriate introductory paragraph that describes topic and layout of write-up.						
Finishes with an appropriate concluding paragraph that summarizes answer to discussion question asked.						
Paragraphs and transitions between them flow smoothly.						
Appropriate writing style and language use for a college-educated reader not familiar with the topic.						
No grammar or spelling errors.						
Answer appropriately draws upon at least one piece of outside material relevant to the discussion question, and cites this material, and all material referenced, using APA style (see style manual from PPA 200) on separate reference list that does not count toward two-page limit.						
Answer appropriately addresses discussion question by drawing upon assigned reading related to it (three times other value).	<u>30</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>0</u>

Total score (100 possible)

Final Assignment

PPA 220A – Fall 2016 – Professor Rob Wassmer

Due December 12, 2016 at 6 p.m.

One Grade Deduction for Every Portion of a Day Late

Submit Only a Word electronic copy in one file to rwassme@csus.edu

Preliminary

Overview

You are to write no more than an eight-page, typed, and double-spaced document (11 Times New Roman Font, one-inch margins all around) that represents a briefing paper to your elected boss (CA Governor, Senator or Assemblyperson; CA County Supervisor; or CA City Mayor or Councilperson) on how to take an economic-based approach to thinking about, defining, and offering a solution to your choice of one of three CA-based policy concerns that I will provide.

I will use the rubric contained on the next page to score your briefing paper and assign it a grade. Pay careful attention to what this rubric is asking for and the further instructions offered below. Think about writing a paper that incorporates as much of the concepts/approaches learned in this course as possible. Thus, write something that you would not have been able to do before taking this course.

Instructions

You should structure your answer as an essay with an appropriate cover page, introductory section that describes what is in the essay, section headings that cover the requested material, and a concluding section. You will need a reference list at the end and **citations must be in APA style** (see style manual from PPA 200). Tables and figures should be included in an appendix to the essay and they do not count toward your eight-page limit. I will not read beyond eight pages. (Your cover page and reference list is not part of this eight-page limit.) Other than these constraints and including the mandatory components described below, the remaining form of the write up is up to you.

Specifics That Need to Be Included

- (1) Specifically identify the elected official you are writing for. Be sure to write at a level of explanation and tone for someone in this position. (They are likely college educated, but do not assume any prior economic or policy analysis knowledge.)
- (2) Be clear on the purpose of this document in an introductory section and describe at the close of your introduction how your structure your write up into specific sections.

Use section headings throughout paper. End with a section that features your specific conclusions.

- (3) Place in **bold** in your introduction a one-sentence **problem statement** in the form suggested by Bardach. Also, include additional paragraphs that describe the magnitude of the policy problem you have chosen, the magnitude of change required for a solution, and why the problem warrants public intervention.
- (4) The section after the introduction should be at least two pages long and describe in appropriate detail the public policy problem within the context of the economics and policy framework learned in this class. Carefully look over your notes and describe the economic and policy concepts learned that will help your client better understand both why the government should get involved with this problem and the alternatives you are proposing. Consider this a section that you could not have possibly written before taking this class – so demonstrate to me what you have learned. Also, include a figure to stimulate interest in your topic.
- (5) Choose three **alternatives** that could solve the policy problem. Describe each of these alternatives in more detail in a half-page or more. Appendix B in Bardach, and Chapter 3 in Mintron, can help in your constructing of these alternatives.
- (6) Choose and describe three different **criteria** to evaluate the desirability of the three alternatives put forward. Describe each criterion in a half-page or more. Should different weights apply to criteria when deciding best alternative?
- (7) Conclude with your recommendation on what alternative to pursue to tackle the policy problem. Be sure to detail your justification for choosing this alternative.
- (8) Turn on the grammar check in Microsoft Word (or use another one) and be sure to correct all issues. I will do the same when grading and grade you down for common grammar/spelling problems flagged out. **Pay particular attention to eliminating the presence of passive voice.**

Grading Rubric for Final Exam, PPA 220A, Fall 2016

Preliminary

Excellent = 10, Good = 9, Acceptable = 8, Needs Improvement = 6-7, Poor = 5 or <, Absent = 0

<i>Required Content</i>	10	9	8	7	6	0
Development and organization (20 points): appropriate introduction and conclusion, organization of paper clearly described in introduction and done as stated						
Writing mechanics (10 points): grammar, word choice, and sentence structure						
Presentation (10 points): appropriate and attractive format; Explanatory figure included						
Citations (10 points): accurate citations and listing of references using APA style						
Public policy problem statement (10 points)						
Layperson's description of use of Bardach analysis (20 points)						
Very specific justification for public intervention into this problem based upon what learned from Mintrom/Munger (30 points)						
Write up and citations to justify choice of alternatives to solve policy problem (20 points)						
Write up and citations to justify choice of criteria to evaluate alternatives (20 points)						
Demonstration of your mastery of economic concepts taught in this course (30 points)						
Policy recommendation given (20 points)						

Total Score (200 Possible)
